

Cost effective screening of the *PKD1* and *PKD2* genes in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD)

Lewis Darnell

Clinical Scientist, East Midlands Regional Molecular Genetics

Lewis.darnell@nuh.nhs.uk

The Problem

- Low clinical utility
- Relatively expensive test:
 - Referral rate too low for efficient batching
 - Multiple *PKD1* pseudogenes
 - Large size
 - GC rich exons



- Requirement for copy number variant (CNV) analysis
- Tolvaptan treatment option required a more cost-effective test
 - Cheaper
 - Require no additional staff
 - Require no additional equipment
 - Meet national reporting time guidelines
 - Circumvent the problems associated with ADPKD genetic testing



The Solution

- Custom NGS panel from SOPHiA GENETICS – 38 genes
- High cancer referral rate promotes efficiency in other services
- Single, simple workflow for multiple services
- SOPHiA DDM bioinformatics:
 - Fast
 - Free
 - Accurate
 - User friendly
 - CNV analysis
 - Bioinformatic differentiation of *PKD1* from its pseudogenes





The Results

- MLPA use decreased by over **95%**
- Sanger sequencing reduced
- Over 900 hours of staff time saved per year
- Cost of ADPKD testing significantly reduced
- Greater laboratory efficiency
- Faster Turnaround time for all services
- Including a case with a *PKD2* exon 13 deletion that would not have been picked up by MLPA



Reports	Class 4/5	CNV
51	29	2



Focused exome pool-seq of parent offspring trios in previously negative cases

Kathleen Murphy

Trainee Clinical Scientist, Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine

Kathleen.murphy2@mft.nhs.uk / kathleen.murphy3@nhs.net

What is Pool-Seq?



Singleton Seq (proband)



Pool-Seq (x5 maternal, x5 paternal)



Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust

Challenges?

- Estimation of allele frequency
- Variant calling
- Technical artefacts

Pool-Seq allele frequency estimation



Heterozygous candidate SNPs:

- Missense
- Quality >20
- GnomAD >0% & <0.02%
- Read depth >50



Proband	Mean fre	equency		Ran	Pool		
Troballa	Maternal pool	Paternal pool		Maternal pool	Paternal pool	FUUI	
1.	10.26	9.25		3.76-25.00	2.63-23.33		
2.	9.58	8.64		1.48-20.42	1.01-15.25		
3.	9.45	9.27		4.92-19.34	4.25-25.83	1	
4.	9.31	8.94		4.18-14.47	3.13-14.37		
5.	10.25	9.36		9.36 5.8-26		4.12-24.06	L
6.	9.09	9.00		4.23-17.45	3.76-17.61		
7.	9.55	9.47		3.88-16.45	3.75-24.93		
8.	9.46	9.65		1.88-22.67	3.57-25.22	2	
9.	10.65	9.92		3.30-26.43	2.18 - 20.48		
10.	8.71	9.50		5.39-12.94	2.5-17.24		



Findings and conclusions

	2109 c.794C>T •			Manchester University
VEP consequence	Missense variant	Inheritance	De novo fp DNG_ANALYSED	NHS Foundation Trust
Genotype	Heterozygous	PolyPhen prediction	benign	
HGVSc	NM_172109.1:c.794C>T	CIET condiction	delatacione	
HGVSp	NP_742107.1:p.Ala265Val	SIP1 prediction	0	
Amino acid	AV	GERP	5.45	154
MAXAF	0	Reads split Depth Other collection	oband ^ c c	
		1.50 1.00 50	iternal	
		2 000		
HOXA2 NM_	_006735 c.679G>T	- 2.00 1.50 1.00	aternal	
HOXA2 NM_	_006735 c.679G>T	- 2,00 1,50 M 1,80 50 50	aternal	
HOXA2 NM_ VEP consequence Genotype	_006735 c.679G>T Stop gained Heterozygous	Inheritance PotyPhen prediction	aternal	
HOXA2 NM_ VEP consequence Genotype HGVSc	_006735 c.679G>T Stop gained Heterozygous NM_006735.3:c.879G>T	Inheritance PolyPhen prediction SiFT prediction	aternal s	
HOXA2 NM_ VEP consequence Genotype HGVSc HGVSp	_006735 c.679G>T Stop gained Heterozygous NM_006735.3:c.679G>T NP_006726.1:p.Glu227Ter	Inheritance PolyPhen prediction SIFT prediction GERP	aternal 5.45	
HOXA2 NM_ VEP consequence Genotype HGVSc HGVSp Amino acid	_006735 c.679G>T Stop gained Heterozygous NM_006725.3:c.679G>T NP_006726.1:p.Glu227Ter E/*	Inheritance PolyPhen prediction SIFT prediction GERP Reads split	aternal 5.45 152/138	
HOXA2 NM_ VEP consequence Genotype HGVSc HGVSp Amino acid MAX AF	_006735 c.679G>T 	Interitance PolyPhen prediction SIFT prediction GERP Reads split Depm	aternal	

- 1. De novo findings in 2/10 of this cohort
- 2. Additional inheritance information identified candidate variants (X-linked & AR inheritance)
- **3. Time saving** on parental cascades across all modes of inheritance.
- 4. Detected rare SNPs with a mean frequency of 8.64-10.65% in parental pools.
- 5. Cost analysis of parental Pool-Seq (5 parents pooled) 47% saving per trio analysis

Acknowledgements: S. Ramsden, W. Newman, H. Schlecht, C. Kyle, R. Coates-Brown, R. Wright, D. Gokhale, L. Dutton, R. Lee, R. Mahood



Assessing whole genome sequencing as a diagnostic test for mitochondrial disease

Frankie Macrae

STP Trainee, East Midlands and East of England NHS Genomic

Laboratory Hub

Frances.white1@addenbrookes.nhs.uk



ACGS Summer Meeting

10th June 2019







BMC Bioir Published

Norgal whole-Kosai Al-N

Author in

Researc

Tran

in the

10th June 2019

F. Lucy Raymond^{1,2,3}, Rita Horvath⁴ and Patrick F. Chinnery^{1,5*}

mitochondrial disorders

First-line genomic diagnosis of

Current approaches for diagnosing mitochondrial disorders involve specialist clinical assessment, biochemical analyses and targeted molecular genetic testing. There is now a strong rationale for undertaking first-line genome-wide sequencing, accelerating the speed of diagnosis and avoiding the need for expensive and invasive investigations.

support for a variable-size bottleneck

Willem H. Ouwehand§, John R. Bradley§, F. Lucy Raymond§, Mark Caulfield*, Ernest Turro¶, Patrick F. Chinnery¶

Mingkun Li,^{1,2} Rebecca Rothwell,³ Martijn Vermaat,⁴ Ma Roland Schröder ¹ Jorean E. Large ⁴ Mannis van Oven ⁵ Jasper A. ATCCGCTCACAATT P. Eline S Netherla Human mtDNA Sebastiar (16,569 bp) Nuclear Whole Common **mtDNA** Whole Exome mtDNA mitochondrial Mégy¹, Genome sequencing Sequencing Sequencing ce—Rar variants gene panel ACGS Summer Meeting

nan

. Aitman, Izicz†, res Floto, Markus, , ter, Diseases‡,



The University of Manchester

East Midlands and East of England NHS Genomic Laboratory Hub

WGS data from 23 individuals- NIHR Bioresource

0

0

Mitochondrial DNA Copy Number

WGS estimation compared to ddPCR

2, a^{12, 60}

400

350

300

250

200

150

100

WGS mtDNA copy numbel

• No significant difference between datasets

Single Nucleotide Variants

- Long-range PCR followed by NGS
- High heteroplasmic and homoplasmic SNPs

across the mtDNA genome

• [°]100% concordance between the two

methods (269 unique SNPs)

- 98.9% sensitivity (95%CI)
- More work needed: y = 0.8271x - 2.4623 $R^2 = 0.9693$ $P = 1.31 \times 10^{-16}$ other variant types
 - limit of detection with respect to low

level heteroplasmies

Genotype matches across the mtDNA Genome





Whole genome sequencing in a series of primary ciliary dyskinesia cases identifies molecular diagnoses in DNAH5

Holly Black

Trainee Clinical Scientist/Postdoctoral Research Fellow

South East Scotland Genetics Service and University of Edinburgh

Holly.black@nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk

Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia (PCD)

- Disorder of motile cilia
- ~40 genes associated with PCD
- WGS in 8 families (SGP)
 - Variants filtered for PanelApp PCD genes (32 "green" genes)



Blausen.com (2014) WikiJournal of Medicine



WGS in PCD

- Genetic diagnosis in 6 of 8 families
 DNAH5 (3), *DNAH11* (2), *DNAAF4* (1)
- 3 have biallelic variants in DNAH5
 - Case 1:
 - Compound heterozygote
 - Case 2:
 - Singleton, phase unknown- investigating using ddPCR
 - Static cilia
 - Case 3:
 - Compound heterozygote
 - Outer dynein arm defect

Case 1 – DNAH5 compound het



Deletion intron 32 to exon 37



• WGS confirms paternity and enables structural variant identification



The 100,000 Genomes Project; successful cases and cautionary tales – the Wessex experience

Amy Webb

Trainee Clinical Scientist, Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory

Amy.webb@nhs.net

Variants identified by the 100KGP where previous testing was negative Whole Genome Sequencing offers superior coverage even in comparison to Whole Exome Sequencing

The original test didn't include the gene in which the causal variant was found, or didn't cover the whole gene.

The final diagnosis was never considered and previous tests carried out were not capable of finding the answer

Example 1

Patient: Dev delay, hypotonia, elbow contracture + motor delay.

Previously suspected: DiGeorge or Freeman-Sheldon Syndrome.

Testing: Array-CGH, Clinical Exome (virtual panels), 22q11.2FISH, karyotype.

100KGP result: UPD14.

Example 2

Patient: Female infant with brain abnormalities, developmental regression and seizures. Died age 5 weeks.

Previously testing: Extensive mitochondrial disorder testing, array-CGH and karyotyping on skin and blood.

100KGP result:

Homozygous 45.5kb deletion involving *SLC19A3*. This is the first causal 100KGP CNV confirmed in our GMC Intronic variants outside of the splice regions

Variants missed due to poor read depth or QC

Causal variants missed as they weren't on the panel/s applied

All variants were identifiable in the raw data but not called

SNVs filtered out if they are the only SNV in a recessive gene

CNVs will not be called if they are below GEL's 10kb cut off Affected individuals on both sides of the family can cause a dominant variant to be filtered out

Example: Compound heterozygous DNAAF4 variants: 1 SNV and 1 CNV Both the nonsense mutation and the 3.5kb CNV were filtered out.

WRGL PCD gene panel found nonsense mutation and further investigation identified the CNV



The 100,000 Genomes Project; successful cases and cautionary tales IN SUMMARY...

Many cases solved

Many cases could probably have been solved by conventional testing without whole genome sequencing had the patient been well phenotyped and/or seen by a clinical geneticist We must learn from missed variants to develop better filtering strategies

WGS is not the end!!!

WGS does not always = WG analysis



Targeted microarray design increases detection of clinically-relevant variants across multiple NHS genomics centres

Dr Jana Jezkova

Pre-Registration Clinical Scientist, All Wales Medical Genomics Service

Jana.jezkova@wales.nhs.uk

Exon-focussed targeted oligo-array design provides an increased diagnostic yield: Data analysis of 27,756 patients from a consortium of UK diagnostic genomic centres



* Number of samples classified as either VOUS, likely pathogenic or pathogenic.

(p-value = 2.85e-07)

The v3 exon-focused array design shows a significant increase in intragenic CNVs per sample when compared to the v2 array:

v3: 0.73 CNVs per sample vs v2: 0.5 CNVs per sample (P < 2.2e-16)

64 cases

with pathogenic or likely pathogenic intragenic CNVs identified in the following genes that would have been **missed** by the v2 array:



Summary

- Our results show that the v3 exon-focused array design provides a powerful tool for detection of small pathogenic intragenic deletions, providing a clinical answer for a proportion of patients that would have remained undiagnosed.
- At this time, targeted arrays offer a robust method of copy number analysis to supplement NGS based tests.

Acknowledgments

All Wales Medical Genomics Service, NHS Wales:

Sian Morgan, Sian Corrin, Angharad Williams, Jade Heath, Deborah Barrell

Contributing NHS Laboratories:

All Wales Medical Genomics Service, NHS Wales Bristol Genetics Laboratory – North Bristol NHS Trust Wessex Regional Genetics Laboratory – Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust West Midlands Regional Genetics Laboratory - Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust



A Sysmex Group Company

Oxford Gene Technology, UK:

Frank Smith, Jolyon Holdstock, Douglas Hurd



Detection of fetal microdeletions by noninvasive prenatal testing

Kathryn Jones

Principal Clinical Scientist, NW NHS Genomic Laboratory Hub

Kathryn.jones@lwh.nhs.uk



HSST Innovation Project

- Detection of fetal microdeletion Syndromes by noninvasive prenatal testing (NIPT)
- Sequence to determine what deletions and duplications can be detected at differing fetal fractions and read depths
- Statistical analysis of the results to determine the likelihood that a sample is affected with a microdeletion
- Determining level of abnormality in relation to fetal fraction
- Potential to reduce the number of false positive results

Method



- Sourced abnormal cell lines from commercial suppliers for the common microdeletion syndromes
- Microarray cell lines and 'normal' background
- Combine these cell lines with a 'normal' background to different artificial fetal fractions
 - 5, 10 and 15% ff's
 - 1p36, Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome, Cri du Chat Syndrome, Prader-Willi/Angelman Syndrome, Di George Syndrome
- Fragment
- Size selection
- Library Prep
- Sequence
 - Using Ion Torrent
- Analyse
 - Using R



Region	Start	End	Assessment Type	Copy #	Chromosome	Start	End	Size (bp)	Man	StdDev	Included	Excluded	%Included	North West
2	Cyto	Cyto		#					Change					NHS Genomic Laboratory Hub
min	4p16.3	4p15.33	Pathogenic? LOSS	-0.81	4	428,761	11,431,717	11,002,957	no	0.14	246	0	100.00	
max	4p16.3	4p15.33	Pathogenic? LOSS	-0.81	4	374,586	11,507,196	11,132,611	no	0.14	246	0	100.00	



GC-corrected depth of coverage: raw, smoothed and mean: chr4 Normalised against 1 ref. samples. Displayed on overall chr. ratio scale.

Kathryn Jones - kathryn.jones@lwh.nhs.uk



A new diagnostic approach to calling CNV's from low read-depth genomic sequencing data

Bethany Wild

Trainee Clinical Genomic Scientist, Guy's Genetics Laboratory

Bethany.r.wild@gmail.com

Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust NHS Foundation Trust MANCHESTER 1824 The University of Manchester

Project Aims

<u>Primary Goal</u> – To assess if low read depth Genome Sequencing (GS) is a clinically feasible, cost effective alternative to Chromosomal Microarray Analysis (CMA) for CNV detection

- Read depth?
- Resolution?
- Cost per sample?
- CNV-calling algorithms? WISECONDORX, Canvas, CNVSeq

<u>Secondary Goal</u> - Can GS provide a better quality result for tissue samples with low-quality DNA?

- Products of Conception (POCs), Fetal Tissues (FT) and Stillbirths
- 30% of 1,144 miscarriage tissues achieved a sub-optimal resolution result in 2017



-

ster

Conclusions



- GS comparable to CMA
- WISECONDORX optimal of all algorithms tested
- Bin size 50,000 bp
- Carefully curated reference
- Shallow WGS detected all CNVs 300 kb and greater in size (resolution may improve with further development)
- 500 kb CNV detected at 0.04X
- Seems to work equally well for poor quality DNA
- Sample preparation demonstrated to be feasible
- Reagent cost per sample as low as £75